Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	narv	10/765,196	MELE, ALBERT
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		George L. Walton	3753
All Participants:		Status of Application	n: 1 <sup>st</sup> Action on the Merits
(1) Mr. Thomas L. Adams - attorney.		(3)	
(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 November 2004		Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1 and 37			
Prior art documents discussed: Armenia et al (5,713,387)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fthe interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. Th separate	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·	
	-		
(Figure (ODE O) and an	/A == =!!	4/A 1: 1:	Alice Clamphure If annualists
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	vApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above interview, changes were proposed and made to the above discussed claims, 1 and 37, to more clearly define the claimed invention, and prevail over the above prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of these changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment".